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ABSTRACT

Long span, Column free structures are the most essential in any type of industrial structures and Pre Engineered Buildings (PEB) fulfil this requirement along with reduced time and cost as compared to conventional structures. This methodology is versatile not only due to its quality pre-designing and prefabrication, but also due to its light weight and economical construction. The present work presents the comparative study and design of conventional steel frames with concrete columns and steel columns and Pre Engineered Buildings (PEB). In this work, an industrial building of length 44m and width 20m with roofing system as conventional steel truss and pre-engineered steel truss is analyzed and designed by using STAAD Pro V8i.
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I. INTRODUCTION

India has the second fastest growing economy in the world and a lot of it, is attributed to its construction industry which figures just next to agriculture in its economic contribution to the nation. In its steadfast development, the construction industry has discovered, invented and developed a number of technologies, systems and products, one of them being the concept of Pre-engineered Buildings (PEBs). As opposed to being on-site fabricated, PEBs are delivered as a complete finished product to the site from a single supplier with a basic structural steel framework with attached factory finished cladding and roofing components. The structure is erected on the site by bolting the various building components together as per specifications. PEBs are developed using potential design software. The onset of technological advancement enabling 3D modelling and detailing of the proposed structure and coordination has revolutionized Conventional building construction. Pre-Engineered Buildings (PEB) is the future for India. Most of the Indian business community is just started to realize the benefits of PEB’s. Where you have been building with concrete for as long as anyone can remember, it is difficult to change. However India’s most progressive companies are seeing the benefits of PEB’s.

II. METHODOLOGY

In the present study an Industrial steel structure with Conventional steel structure with concrete columns, Conventional steel structure with steel columns and 3. Pre-Engineered structure are considered for the analysis, design using Staad.Pro V8i. Conventional Steel Building of length 20 m and span 44 m. Bay lengths are maintained at an interval of 4 m along length. The height of the truss is taken as a minimum pitch that is 1/5th of span. So slope of roof is taken as 21.8˚ and covered with GI sheet. The spacing of purlins is maintained as 1.35 m. The eave height of the building has been taken as 5.5 m in which 3 m from ground level is used for brick work and remaining 2.5 m is used for cladding. Pre Engineered Steel Building of length 20 m and span 44 m. Bay lengths are maintained at an interval of 4 m along length. For this structure from general practice slope of the roof is taken as 5.71˚. The spacing of purlins is maintained as 1.26 m. The eave height of the building has been taken as 5.5 m in which 3 m from ground level is used for brick work and remaining 2.5 m is used as cladding.

A. Pre Engineered Buildings

Pre-Engineered Building concept involves the steel building systems which are predesigned and prefabricated. As the name indicates, this concept involves pre-engineering of structural elements using a predetermined registry of building materials and manufacturing techniques that can be proficiently complied with a wide range of structural and aesthetic design requirements. The basis of the PEB concept lies in providing the section at a location only according to the requirement at that spot. The sections can be varying throughout the length according to the bending moment
diagram. This leads to the utilization of non-prismatic rigid frames with slender elements. Tapered I sections made with built-up thin plates are used to achieve this configuration. Standard hot-rolled sections, cold-formed sections, profiled roofing sheets, etc. is also used along with the tapered sections. The use of optimal least section leads to effective saving of steel and cost reduction. The typical PEB frame of the structure is as shown in the Figure.

B. Conventional Steel Buildings

Conventional steel buildings (CSB) are low rise steel structures with roofing systems of truss with roof coverings. Various types of roof trusses can be used for these structures depending upon the pitch of the truss. For large pitch, Fink type truss can be used; for medium pitch, Pratt type truss can be used and for small pitch, Howe type truss can be used. Skylight can be provided for day lighting and for more day lighting, quadrangular type truss can be used. The selection criterion of roof truss also includes the slope of the roof, fabrication and transportation methods, aesthetics, climatic conditions, etc. Several compound and combination type of economical roof trusses can also be selected depending upon the utility. Standard hot-rolled sections are usually used for the truss elements along with gusset plates. The CSB frame of the structure considered in the study is as shown in Figure.

III. ADVANTAGES OF PEB

Following are some of the advantages Pre-Engineered Building Structures:

a) Buildings are generally constructed in just 6 to 8 weeks after approval of drawings. PEB will thus reduce total construction time of the project by at least 30%. This allows faster occupancy and earlier realization of revenue.
b) Because of systems approach, considerable saving is achieved in design, manufacturing and erection cost.
c) These can be easily expanded in length by adding additional bays. Also expansion in width and height is possible by pre designing for future expansion.
d) Buildings can be supplied to around 90m clear spans. This is one of the most important advantages of PEB giving column free space.
e) Buildings are manufactured completely in the factory under controlled conditions, and hence the quality can be assured.
f) PEB Buildings have high quality paint systems for cladding and steel to suit ambient conditions at the site, which in turn gives long durability and low maintenance coats.
g) Buildings are supplied with polyurethane insulated panels or fibre glass blankets insulation to achieve required “U” values (overall heat transfer coefficient).
h) Steel members are brought to site in CKD conditions, thereby avoiding cutting and welding at site. As PEB sections are lighter in weight, the small members can be very easily assembled, bolted and raised with the help of cranes. This allows very fast construction and reduces wastage and labour requirement.
TABLE I
Structure Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of building</th>
<th>Industrial building</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of structure</td>
<td>single storey industrial structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of building</td>
<td>880 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eave height</td>
<td>5.5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span width</td>
<td>20m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bays</td>
<td>11 No's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single bay length</td>
<td>4m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bay length</td>
<td>44m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support condition (CSB)</td>
<td>fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support condition (PEB)</td>
<td>pinned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEB roof slope</td>
<td>5.71°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSB roof slope</td>
<td>21.8°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spacing of the purlin = 1.35 m
Total weight on purlins = 0.256 × 1.35 = 0.345 kN/m

Dead Load on Pre-Engineered Building:
- Weight of the G.I sheeting = 0.131 kN/m²
- Weight of fixings = 0.025 kN/m²
- Weight of services = 0.1 kN/m²
- Total weight = 0.256 kN/m²
- Total weight on purlins = 0.256 × 1.26 = 0.322 kN/m

IV. LOAD CALCULATIONS

C. Dead Load
Dead load is calculated According to IS: 875 (Part 1) – 1987[15].

Dead Load on Conventional Steel Building:
- Weight of the G.I sheeting = 0.131 kN/m²
- Weight of fixings = 0.025 kN/m²
- Weight of services = 0.1 kN/m²
- Total weight = 0.256 kN/m²

D. Live Load
The Live load is calculated according to IS: 875 (Part 2) – 1987 [16].

Live Load on Conventional Steel Building:
- Live load on the sloping roof is = 750 – 20(α -10) N/m²
  Where α = 21.8°, Therefore live load = 0.514 kN/m²
- Live load on purlins = 0.514 × 1.35 = 0.9179 kN/m

Live Load on Pre-Engineered Building:
- Live load on purlins = 0.836 kN/m²
  Therefore live load on purlins at 1.26 spacing
  = 0.836 × 1.26 = 1.05 kN/m

E. Earthquake Load
Earthquake loads are calculated as per IS: 1893-2000 [17].

Earthquake Load on Conventional Steel Building:
- Dead load = 0.256 kN/m²
- Live load = 0.128 kN/m² (25% of reduction as per IS 1893-2002)
- Total load = DL+LL = 0.384 kN/m²
- Bay width of the building is 4 m
  Therefore earthquake load on rafter = 0.384 × 4 = 1.538 kN/m.
Earthquake Load on Pre-Engineered Building:

Dead load = 0.256 kN/m²

Live load = 0.209 kN/m² (25% of reduction as per is 1893-2002)

Total load = 0.465 kN/m²

Bay width of the building is 4 m

Therefore earthquake load on rafter = 0.444 x 4 = 1.86 kN/m.

F. Wind Load

Wind load is calculated as per IS: 875 (Part 3) – 1987

Basic Wind speed \( V_b = 50 \) m/sec

Risk Coefficient \( K_1 = 1 \)

Terrain, Height and Structure size factor \( K_2 = 1 \)

Topography factor \( K_3 = 1 \)

Design Wind Speed \( V_z = V_b K_1 K_2 K_3 = 50 \) m/sec

Design Wind Pressure \( P = 0.06 V_z^2 = 1.5 \) kN/m²

The Internal Coefficients are taken as +0.5 and -0.5. Wind Load on individual members are then calculated by

\[
F = (C_{pe} - C_{pi}) \times A \times P
\]

Where, \( C_{pe} \) – External Coefficient

\( C_{pi} \) – Internal Coefficient

\( A \) – Surface Area in m²

\( P \) – Design Wind Pressure in kN/m²

V. STAAD PRO PROCEDURE

In the present study, Staad Pro software has been used in order to analyse and design Pre Engineered Structures and Conventional Steel Structure. It gives the Bending moment, Shear Forces, Axial Forces, Torsion, Beam Structures of a steel structure so that the design can be done using Tapered Sections and check for safety in Pre Engineered Buildings.
Fig.6 Bending moments and Reactions at mid-span of Rafters

Fig.7 Bending moments and Reactions at end of Rafters

Fig.8 Bending moments and Reactions at Ridge Portion

TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUANTITY OF STEEL UTILIZED FOR THE STRUCTURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSB with Concrete Columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSB with Steel Columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Engineered Building</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. DISCUSSION

- After analyzing, at different load cases it is observed that the Axial force at supports in PEB (43.487 kN) is less when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (37.814 kN & 64.054 kN). Shear force at supports in PEB (178.708 kN) is less when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (226.003 kN & 186.14 kN). The Bending Moments at supports in PEB is negligible when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (151.955 kN & 171.955 kN).

- In Columns, the Axial force in PEB (178.708 kN) is less when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (225.995 kN & 186.134 kN). Shear force in PEB (44.832 kN) is less when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (47.534 kN & 64.248 kN). The Bending Moments in PEB (354.358 kN) is more due to its lightweight structure (Tapered Sections) when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (232.72 kN & 163.382 kN).

- In Rafters, the Axial force in PEB (53.048 kN) is less when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (135.625 kN & 128.426 kN). Shear force in PEB (110.039 kN) is less when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (16.015 kN & 16.224 kN). The Bending Moments in PEB (252.821 kN) is more due...
to its light weight structure (Purlins & Rafters) when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (9.94 kN & 14,544 kN).

- In Rafters at mid-span, the Axial force in PEB (46,794 kN) is less when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (135,335 kN & 178.16 kN). Shear force in PEB (59,685 kN) is more when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (1.426 kN & 1.61 kN). The Bending Moments in PEB (154,293 kN) is more due to its light weight structure (Tapered Sections) when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (1.447 kN & 1.959 kN).

- In Rafters at Ends, the Axial force in PEB (34,226 kN) is less when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (121.66 kN & 160.158 kN). Shear force in PEB (110.039 kN) is less when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (9.129 kN & 10.306 kN). The Bending Moments in PEB (252.821 kN) is more when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (9.94 kN & 13,456 kN).

- In Rafters at Ridge portion, the Axial force in PEB (52,435 kN) is less when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (102,817 kN & 135,352 kN). Shear force in PEB (41,016 kN) is less when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (12.567 kN & 14,187 kN). The Bending Moments in PEB (166,829 kN) is more when compared to that in CSB with concrete columns and steel columns (9.94 kN & 4,052 kN).

VIII. CONCLUSION

This paper effectively conveys that PEB structures can be easily designed by simple design procedures in accordance with country standards. Low weight flexible frames of PEB offer higher resistance to earthquake loads. PEB roof structure is almost 26% lighter than Conventional Steel Building. In secondary members, light weight “Z” purlins are used for PEB structure, whereas heavier hot-rolled sections are used for CSB. Support reactions for PEB are lesser than CSB as per analysis. Light weight foundation can be adopted for PEB which leads to simplicity in design and reduction in cost of construction of foundation. Heavy foundation will be required for CSB structure. PEB building cost is 30% lesser than the cost of CSB structure. PEB offers low cost, strength, durability, design flexibility, adaptability and recyclability. To conclude “Pre-Engineered Building construction gives end users a much more economical and better solution for long span structures where large column free areas are needed.
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